

MINUTES - DRAFT

City of Flagstaff

REGIONAL PLAN CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

3:30 p.m. – 5 p.m. May 20, 2010

Northern Arizona Healthcare Educational Offices: 1000 N. Humphrey's Suite 241, Flagstaff, AZ;
in the Fort Valley shopping center, south of the hospital.



In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact Bonita Sears at 928-779-7632, ext. 7294 (or 774-5281 TDD). Notification at least 48 hours in advance will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements.

Draft Regional Plan Vision Statement:

The Greater Flagstaff community embraces the region's extraordinary cultural and ecological setting on the Colorado Plateau through active stewardship of the natural and built environments. Residents and visitors encourage and advance intellectual, environmental, social and economic vitality for today's citizens and future generations.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order by Acting Chair Ken Kaemmerle at 3:36 p.m

II. Roll Call

A. Committee Members:

<input type="checkbox"/> Paul Babbitt (Chairman)	<input type="checkbox"/> Alex Frawley	<input type="checkbox"/> Devonna McLaughlin
<input type="checkbox"/> Carol Bousquet (Vice Chairman)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jean Griego	<input type="checkbox"/> Jerome Naleski
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ben Anderson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shaula Hedwall	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eva Putzova
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Susan Bean	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Richard Henn	<input type="checkbox"/> William Ring
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Michael Chaveas	<input type="checkbox"/> Maury Herman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ken Kaemmerle
<input type="checkbox"/> Nat White	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judy Louks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mike Nesbitt
		<input type="checkbox"/> Eunice Tso

B. Alternate Members: Larry Stevens Don Walters

III. APPROVAL of MINUTES for May 06, 2010 CAC Meetings

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Recommend changes and approve [05/06/10 minutes](#).

A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes of the Regular Meeting of May 6, 2010 as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

At this time, any member of the public may address the Committee on any subject within their jurisdiction that is not scheduled before the Commission on that day. Due to Open Meeting Laws, the Committee cannot discuss or act on items presented during this portion of the agenda. To address the Committee on an item that is on the agenda, please wait for the Chair to call for Public Comment at the time the item is heard. If time does not allow all comments to be heard, public comments may be posted to the Regional Plan blog:

<http://flagregionalplan2012.wordpress.com/>

No public comments.

V. OLD BUSINESS - (Continued, postponed, and tabled agenda items.)

A. Zoning Code Update

(est. 10 minutes)

PURPOSE: Update CAC members on the Zoning Code re-write progress

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Presentation

FACILITATORS: Roger Eastman, Zoning Code Administrator

An update to the Zoning Code Update was presented by Roger Eastman. His presentation covered the following:

- Progress so far
- Drafting new code
- Subdivision regulations - consolidate development.
- Manual Structure
- Tentative meeting schedule – consultants will be here 9/15-16/2010
- Educational forums
- Council work sessions
- Final adoption is slated for March 1, 2011
- Summary of critical dates

Comments and questions from Committee:

- Before release of draft, will it be reviewed by any outside engineers or entities?
- Will the City have a chance to run a subdivision through it?
- What will be the process for public input of the draft?
- How will the public be notified?
- Is there a document that shows how the departments work together?

Introduction of new Committee Member Don Walters was made by Ken Kaemmerle.

B. Water Element

(est. 30 minutes)

PURPOSE: Review proposed Water Element goals and policies, and suggest edits.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Review, discussion and agreement upon draft and edits

FACILITATORS: Sue Pratt and Brad Hill

HANDOUTS: DRAFT Water Element 053110

Water Conservation Measures

Water Advisory Council

A review of the results of last meeting actions was provided and comments received prior to meeting were presented by Sue Pratt.

Policy W.3.1 The city, county and all regional partners shall work together to address regional human and environmental water needs.

- Human and environmental were suggested additions to the policy.
- To account for both, this emphasizing of both is necessary.
- IF the policy just says “regional needs” it leaves a neutral ground, as the environmental practices in this city are assumed.
- Water situation is alarming, and decisions have to be faced at some time. The intention here is to make a case for both. What if we get to the point to where there is not enough water for both?
- Don’t want to be told we have to ration water for some landscaping that we don’t need.
- Is water a renewable resource? Groundwater is not; surface water is to an extent.

Five finger vote: 5 & 4, some 3s

Policy W.3.2 Low water consuming business and industries shall be favored over water intensive uses.

- How will this be identified through implementation strategies? What are water intensive uses? Where is the cut off limit?
- Paper production, bottled water: examples, industries would have to have an analysis conducted for water use- Need to determine "intensive"
- Like the intent of it, but would become the policy to determine allowing a particular business or not. Use another word instead of favored.
- If a business contemplates moving to the Flagstaff area, a documented water use analysis would be necessary to fulfill the intent of this policy.
- Are we talking about incentivizing low water use, or are we not allowing high water use?
- Like the word favor: the Regional Plan must contain statements which recognize the supply issue and set limits to the use of that supply.

Five finger vote: 5 & 4s, & 2

- Need the parameters – clear definition what constitutes 'high water use' will be in the strategies. This definition can be in the Regional Plan, but must be based upon science.
- Will we have an opportunity to review this during the strategies discussion? *Yes and the currently on-going water study will help define this.*
- Will this be the document to define this? *That is up to the CAC.*

Policy W.3.3 Integrate sound water conservation and reserve systems into new and updated public facilities.

Five finger vote: 5s & 4s

Policy W.3.4 Meet needs for non potable uses through use of reclaimed wastewater and water harvesting where appropriate.

- Currently, potable water is being discussed for snow making, and it is also used for gardens. How would this policy change those types of uses?
- Need specific strategies on exactly HOW to increase reclaim wastewater and water harvesting use – make it easy for the public and developers to do the right thing.
- Would like to see a separate policy or higher policy (goal?) to recognize the fact that we need to prioritize our land uses, based upon resources.
- Meet needs for water uses by potable and non potable water, we will be using non-potable for potable uses at some point in the futures.

New **Policy W.3.4. Use reclaimed water and water harvesting wherever appropriate.**

Five finger vote: 5 & 4 for change

(Policies W.3.5 and W.3.6 discussed at May 6 CAC meeting.)

Policy W.3.7 Encourage private well owners to install meters to understand how much water is used as well as alert property owners to possible leaks.

- Possibly use 'Monitor' or 'trace' instead of meter.
- Encourage doesn't mean much, but the County cannot regulate wells, for the State does not require meters, thus the County cannot require meters.
- Having this in the plan will encourage strategies, including education, to move this forward.

Five finger vote: 5 & one 4

Policy W.3.8 The city shall estimate the volume of local water resources it has available and make periodic updates as appropriate.

- Water estimates, goes back to water budget.
- Put on parking lot to define "periodic" for future strategies.

Five finger vote as written; 5 & 4

Policy 3.9 The city shall implement a water management program that creates a linkage between existing water needs, new growth, and min. 100 year water supply.

Five finger vote: 5

Policy W.3.10 The city shall identify adequate funding sources to pay for new resources to ensure a long term renewable water supply.

- Does adequate funding conflict with cost effective in goal W.2?
- For how many people and industries? Regional Plan is only for 10 years, water planning needs to go on further and be regional.
- Is this a strategy?
- Wouldn't it be 'attempt to identify' what if funding is just not available?
- This is not a policy but a strategy – HOW we are going to meet water needs.
- What if the long term water supply is not renewable? Something difficult to determine.
- Add under goal 2 "and funding sources" and eliminate it here?

Five finger vote:

- Like to change to: "the city shall help to identify adequate funding sources to pay for new resources...."
- Eliminate it as a policy and use it as a strategy

Five finger vote: 5 & 4, one 1.

- Eliminate as strategy and put it into goal 2

Five finger vote: 5 & 4

Goal W.4 To avoid leap frog development, to logically enhance and extend public water, wastewater and reclaimed water services including their treatment, distribution and collection systems in both urbanized and newly developed areas of the city in accordance with policies established by city council. Public infrastructure could support infill incentive areas; private development would support extensions into newly developed area.

New Goal W.4 Avoid leap-frog development by logically enhancing and extending public water, wastewater, and reclaimed water services including their treatment, distribution, and collection systems in both urbanized and newly developed areas of the City."

Five finger vote: 5 & 4 s

Policy W.4.1 The city shall update the Integrated Water Master plan to better plan for the necessary infrastructure sizing and location to accommodate planned growth and resource management as envisioned by the land uses in the regional plan.

- The other way around “update our land uses”
- This means that the regional plan is driving the integrated water master plan; city water utility will make decisions based upon the land use direction of the regional plan.
- Makes clear the land use plan drives utility, instead of the other way around.
- We talk about adapting our water resources to meet the needs. The land use is the number one decision maker.
- Change it to “the land uses in the regional plan shall guide the integrated water master plan.”
- The way it is written is to update another plan.

Five finger vote:

- Ask Brad why the integrated master plan wouldn't be updated.

Policy W.4.2 The City shall maintain a financially stable utility to provide reliable high quality utility services.

- This will be done when Brad is here. Put on parking lot.

VI. NEW BUSINESS

A. Environment & Conservation Element *(est. 40 minutes)*

PURPOSE: Presentation and discussion of ‘Environment’ element with information thus far

FACILITATORS: Mark Ogonowski, John Aber, Bob Caravona

HANDOUTS: DRAFT Environment Element

No discussion.

B. Announcements *(est. 10 minutes)*

1. **Regional Plan – Economic Development Focus Group:** May 27, 2010 – NOON to 2 p.m. NAU
ARD
2. **Next regular CAC Meeting:** June 3, 2010 - 3:30 p.m. to 6 p.m. at N.AZ Healthcare facilities

Agenda Items:

1. ENVIRONMENT – review Goals and Policies; revised element section
2. ELEMENT – Open Space & Recreation (Introduce) Bob

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 5:05 p.m.