



**FLAGSTAFF
REGIONAL
PLAN 2012**

Draft Minutes
Regional Plan Citizen Advisory Committee
3:30 p.m.–6:00 p.m. – Thursday, February 14, 2013

Aquaplex
1702 N. Fourth Street, Flagstaff, AZ 86004

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called the meeting to order at 3:45 p.m. Mr. White was elected Acting Chair.

II. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Present:

Nat White	Susan Bean
Julie Leid	Richard Henn
Maury Herman	Don Walters
Alex Wright	Eva Putzova
Ben Anderson	

Absent

Paul Babbitt, Chair	Shaula Hedwall
Carol Bousquet, Vice Chair	Devonna McLaughlin
Judy Louks	Jerome Naleski

Attendees

Theresa Gunn, Facilitator
Kimberly Sharp, Acting Comprehensive Planning Mngr.
Jim Cronk, Planning Director
David Wessel, FMPO Manager
Justine Otto, FMPO Admin Specialist Temp
Sue Pratt, Coconino County Community Development Director
John Aber, Coconino County Assistant Community Development Director
Tiffany Antol, Coconino County Senior Planner
Kate Morley, Coconino County Planner
Michelle D'Andrea, City of Flagstaff Deputy Attorney
Roger Eastman, City of Flagstaff Zoning Code Administrator
Tina Pfeiffer, City of Flagstaff Planning and Zoning Commissioner
Paul Moore, City of Flagstaff Planning and Zoning Commissioner

Public

Marilyn Weissman, Friends of Flagstaff's Future
Rick Miller, Conservation Study Forum

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

IV. APPROVAL of MINUTES

Mr. Walters moved to approve the Minutes for January 17, January 24, and February 1, 2013 CAC

Meetings. Mr. Henn seconded. Minor corrections to the January 24th Minutes were agreed upon. The corrected Minutes were unanimously approved.

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. None

N/A

VI. OLD BUSINESS (Continued, postponed, and tabled items.)

A. Parking Lot

Visiting members of the Planning and Zoning Commission were introduced. Staff requested that the CAC focus on major flaws. The Committee was assured that the policies were being cross-referenced for possible conflicts by the editor. Some members requested hard copies or a single PFD of the policies for easier perusal prior to the next meeting, when the full document would be distributed. The CAC was reminded that the Parking Lot issues were from November, so some (such as use of mandates like should/shall/will) had already been solved. There was discussion only.

B. Land Use Element

Ms. Wright left at 4pm, stating that she would continue to work with Ms. Sharp on strategies and metrics. Ms. Sharp overviewed the changes made to the draft. The following was discussed:

- On page 8, the general Plan is not set for 30 years out; change to "A long-term community vision"
- The FMPO is working with the County to fill in the table on page 13 so the CAC can use it to work on policy language at the next meeting
- Do not combine Goals 1, 2 and/or 3
- The phrase "equitable provision of public services" can be misunderstood by a layperson; the CAC determined that it was referring to infrastructure, that some neighborhoods may need a different distribution of services than others, and that the goal should be kept vague to avoid legal issues
- Goal 3 should be reworded as "Support sustainable growth and change through orderly and well-planned development that provides public services and infrastructure needs for the existing and future residents and businesses," and footnote 6 should be removed
- In 4.1 "confining" is not a good word to use, and "Development Growth Boundary" doesn't make as much sense as simply "Growth Boundary"
- Change 4.1 to "Maintain the Growth Boundaries to provide efficient infrastructure and [provide compact development.]"
- 4.4 and 4.5 aren't necessary as-is, either make them definitions or reword as actions
- Goal 5 is necessary for detailing water resources in Land Use
- There is not a requirement to ensure a 100-year water supply, but one may come about soon
- Mr. Wessel presented the activity center maps and stated that the Plan should be specific when dealing with activity centers, inquiring if the pedestrian sheds (¼-mile walkable areas) should be included on the Growth Map. The CAC agreed that the ped-sheds need work to resonate
- On page 25, change Revitalization to Reinvestment and find goals in paragraphs
- Model the Greenfield development to see if the suburban policies help it
- Clarify Goal 17 to expand on the idea that employment is not only in industrial areas and defines other areas

- Mr. Eastman recommended specifying what industry is appropriate in Flagstaff
- The Plan cannot make developers ensure occupancy instead of speculation
- Include institutional and government employment centers such as Lowell Observatory, the museum, and Downtown buildings
- Highlight our liability as a transportation or distribution center
- The following policy ideas were suggested: Provide transportation to and from jobs; Provide infrastructure for employment centers; Find a way to provide services and meet needs for those within walking distance of their employment area
- The Land Use Chapter's economic section should reflect the Economic Chapter
- Add Lowell Observatory as a campus in the Special Planning section

C. Strategies Review

This item was not discussed due to time constraints.

ADJOURNMENT

Acting Chair White adjourned the meeting at 6:10 p.m.