



# FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

CITY OF FLAGSTAFF ♦ COCONINO COUNTY ♦ ARIZONA DOT

Office: 100 West Birch Avenue ♦ Flagstaff, Arizona 86001

Mail: 211 West Aspen Avenue ♦ Flagstaff, Arizona 86001

Phone: (928) 226-4849 ♦ Fax: (928) 213-4825

[www.flagstaffmpo.org](http://www.flagstaffmpo.org) ♦ [fmppo@flagstaffaz.gov](mailto:fmppo@flagstaffaz.gov)

## AGENDA Executive Board

8:00 a.m. – 10:00 – Wednesday, January 27, 2010

---

Coconino County 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference Room,  
219 E. Cherry Avenue, Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Regular meetings and work sessions are open to the public. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation by contacting the City of Flagstaff City Clerk's Office at 928-779-7607. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation. ***A quorum of the TAC may be present.***

### CALL TO ORDER

#### TAC COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Matt Ryan, Chair

Robert "Bob" Montoya, Vice-Chair

Carl Taylor

Mayor Sara Presler

Scott Overton

Coral Evans

#### FMPO STAFF

David Wessel, FMPO Manager

Martin Ince, Multimodal Planner

Meg Roederer, Administrative Specialist

### I. PRELIMINARY GENERAL BUSINESS

#### A. PUBLIC COMMENT

*(At this time, any member of the public may address the Committee on any subject within their jurisdiction that is not scheduled before the Committee on that day. Due to Open Meeting Laws, the Committee cannot discuss or act on items presented during this portion of the agenda. To address the Board on an item that is on the agenda, please wait for the Chair to call for Public Comment at the time the item is heard.)*

#### B. ANNOUNCEMENTS

*(Reconsiderations, Changes to the Agenda, and other Preliminary Announcements)*

#### C. APPROVAL of MINUTES.

- 1) Meeting of December 16, 2009

**Pages 3-4**

### II. OLD BUSINESS (Continued, postponed, and tabled items.)

**Pages 5-8**

#### 1. FY 2010 Unified Planning Work Program

FMPO Staff:

David Wessel, FMPO Manager

REQUESTED ACTION:

Discussion

Staff will discuss the FY2011 Work Program with the Board.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Discussion only

**2. FY 2011-2015 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Pages 9-12**

FMPO Staff: David Wessel, FMPO Manager  
REQUESTED ACTION: Discussion

Staff will discuss the FY 2011-2015 TIP with the Board.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Discussion only

*The Transportation Improvement Program includes Northern Arizona Intergovernmental Public Transportation Authority final program of projects for funding under the Federal Transit Administration, unless amended.*

**3. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update Page 13**

FMPO Staff: David Wessel, FMPO Manager  
REQUESTED ACTION: Discussion only

Staff will provide an update of the Regional Transportation Plan to the Board.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Discussion only

**III. NEW BUSINESS (Continued, postponed, and tabled items.)**

**1. FMPO Calendar Page 14**

FMPO Staff: David Wessel, FMPO Manager  
REQUESTED ACTION: Discussion

Staff will introduce the concept of work session items for the Executive Board to be presented every other month and seek Board input on topics. Meetings will continue to remain monthly.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Discussion only

**IV. CONCLUDING GENERAL BUSINESS**

**D. REPORTS Pages 15-18**

- 1) Executive Board:  
Action Summary December 16, 2009
- 2) Staff Reports:

**E. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

*(Informal Announcements, Future Agenda Items, and Next Meeting Date)*

TAC	February 4, 2010 County, Human Resources
Management Committee	February 11, 2010 County, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference Room
Executive Board	February 24, 2010 County, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference Room

**ADJOURNMENT**

**CERTIFICATION OF POSTING OF NOTICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing notice was duly posted at Flagstaff City Hall on \_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. in accordance with the statement filed by the Recording Secretary with the City Clerk.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2010.



FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN  
PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
CITY OF FLAGSTAFF ♦ COCONINO COUNTY ♦ ARIZONA DOT  
Office: 100 West Birch Avenue ♦ Flagstaff, Arizona 86001  
Mail: 211 West Aspen Avenue ♦ Flagstaff, Arizona 86001  
Phone: (928) 226-4849 ♦ Fax: (928) 213-4825  
www.flagstaffmpo.org

***Draft Minutes***  
***Special Executive Board***  
**1:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. –Wednesday, December 16, 2009**

---

City of Flagstaff, City Hall Council Chambers,  
211 W. Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Chair Ryan called the meeting to order at 1:07 p.m.

**Roll Call.**

**Present:** Matt Ryan, Supervisor Coconino County; Carl Taylor, Supervisor Coconino County; Robert (Bob) Montoya, Arizona Department of Transportation; Scott Overton, Councilmember City of Flagstaff

**Absent/Excused:** Sara Presler, Mayor City of Flagstaff; Coral Evans, Councilmember City of Flagstaff.

**Others Present:** David Wessel, FMPO Manager; Meg Roederer, FMPO Administrative Specialist; Rick Barrett, Bob Caravona, Chuck Gillick, Bill Towler, Larry Dannenfeldt, Jim Cronk, Justin Feek; Jacob Riger (telephonically)

**I. PRELIMINARY GENERAL BUSINESS**

**A. PUBLIC COMMENT**

None

**B. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

None

**C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mr. Taylor made a motion to approve the November 18, 2009 Executive Board meeting minutes and Mr. Montoya seconded, which passed unanimously.

**II. OLD BUSINESS (Continued, postponed, and tabled items.)**

**1. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update**

Mr. Wessel presented the Regional Transportation Plan providing the background and updates to the Board. Mr. Wessel also explained the expectations and the objectives of the RTP as well as staff suggestions. The Board provided comments and there was discussion and action. Mr. Wessel and the Board expressed thank you to all those who contributed and participated in the development of the Regional Transportation Plan.

Mr. Overton made a motion to adopt the Regional Transportation Plan recommended by the Technical Advisory Committee with the conditions that the table of contents be updated, a glossary of terms and acronyms be added and that the public comments and response be added to the appendix. Additional conditions include 1) Inclusion of

a current land use plan map in the appendix; and 2) Inclusion of some model run maps in the body of the report. Mr. Taylor seconded, which passed unanimously.

### **III. NEW BUSINESS**

#### **1. State Representation on Executive Board**

Mr. Wessel updated the Board on the state representation vacancy due to Mr. Montoya's term expiration. Mr. Montoya provided comments, recommendation and strongly expressed being proactive. Mr. Montoya explained the process to fill the vacancy. The Board made suggestions and there was discussion only.

#### **2. FY 2011 Unified Planning Work Program**

Mr. Wessel stated the status of the FY 2011 Work Program with the Board and there was discussion only.

#### **3. FY 2011-2015 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

Mr. Wessel provided an update on the FY 2011-2015 TIP with the Board and there was discussion only.

#### **4. FMPO Calendar**

The Board adjusted the March and May Executive Board meeting times to begin at 9 a.m. Ms. Roederer will make the changes and notify Board and Committee members of the new time. There was discussion on work session meetings and perhaps meeting every other month. The next meeting in January will remain as scheduled on January 27, 2010 from 8-10 a.m.

### **IV. CONCLUDING GENERAL BUSINESS**

#### **D. REPORTS**

Provided and there was discussion only.

#### **E. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mr. Overton commented on the Transportation Summit he attended in Chicago. Mr. Montoya remarked about the State Legislature and Governor, as well as, state budget cuts in regard to layoffs, MVD closures and distribution formulas. Mr. Montoya emphasized with Director Halikowski the importance of public safety and snow removal programs and stated the Director was proactive. Mr. Montoya encouraged the Board to talk and send letters to Governor, legislatures and our representatives.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Ryan adjourned the meeting at 2:37 pm.

# FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION STAFF SUMMARY REPORT



**To:** FMPO Executive Board

**From:** David Wessel, FMPO Manager

**Date:** January 20, 2009

**Meeting Date:** January 27, 2010

**Title:** FY 2011 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget (UPWP)

Recommended Actions: Discussion only regarding direction for FY 2011 UPWP

**ACTION SUMMARY:** Executive Board direction will assist staff in developing required work program and interrelated transportation improvement program elements. TAC supports the general program though has not considered the Snow Play study. Expect further review in March and adoption in May.

## DISCUSSION:

### Background/History:

- UPWP is an annual federal requirement
- UPWP should support the newly adopted Regional Transportation Plan

### Key Considerations:

Staff communicated with member agencies to identify local, state and national efforts with which to coordinate and has considered primary objectives within the regional transportation plan in putting together this preliminary list of tasks and supporting budget.

- Federal rescissions will result in a \$12-14,000 reduction in planning funds
- Carry forward from last year is greater than originally estimated

### Task 1 – Administration

- Staffing - unchanged
- Training – increased \$6,000
  - Intend for FMPO to host webinars, speakers and other opportunities for member agencies. Training will be related to the broader FMPO mission and may be related to RTP policies and guidelines.
- Focal Points
  - Federal legislation
  - Key projects – Lone Tree Traffic Interchange, ADOT program coordination as needed

## Task 2 – Public Participation

- Coordination with Regional Plan 2012

## Task 3 – Short Range Planning

- Milton Road Corridor Study \$400,000 +/- from TIP construction \$
  - Staff recommends year one of a two-year study (\$800,000), Includes costs for project management. As done in the past, the study would be funded with construction dollars from the transportation improvement program.
  - Scope should emphasize intersection solutions, urban design, capacity, multimodal solutions, a strong public involvement component.
  - General TAC consensus is for a city-run project to best address property owner issues.
  - Intent is to select a project or projects from the study, phase in to design, and accumulate construction and safety funds to deliver the project. This provides credibility to the study and organization.
  - Issues: Design and construction to city standards may prompt route transfer discussions.
  - Alternatives:
    - Smaller study focusing on just north or south end of corridor with no anticipation of phased in design. Saves money for other studies or construction.
    - Study only with no short-term plan for construction. Creates situation where requiring developers who are required to make improvements to build to city standard prompts transfer discussion OR if ADOT standards used, where long term design is compromised.
    - Defer the study and program the construction fund for other purposes. Ignores real issues on the main highway into town.
- Camp Navajo
  - MPO role needs strong direction from Executive Board.
  - Staff recommends formation of a freight advisory board (local group of private-side professionals) soon and accelerated discussion with the freight board on possible next steps including shipper's association and port authority formation. These are recommendations from the Northern Arizona Freight Study.
  - This creates action on the local side and could lead to a greater role regionally to influence development and operations at the Camp.
  - Alternatives
    - "Wait-and-see" - Monitor and react to proposals from the Camp and Army Corps. Concern that the ability to influence site design and development including the intermodal yard, could be limited.
    - Aggressive implementation of Shippers Association and Port Authority. Could extend regional influence. be expanded to initiating freight advisory board, shippers association and port authority.
- Snow Play Transportation Impacts and alternatives

- Purpose of the study is to quantify the impact of snow play and skiing activities on local mobility and emergency services and to evaluate and cost alternative solutions.
- The effort would be joint-funded by ADOT and the FMPO. It directly addresses the treatment of US 180 in the regional transportation plan.
- Staff recommends an expanded effort to seek additional funding in modest amounts from such as USFS, County, City, Lodging, Snowbowl, etc. and expand the study to include potential and impacts of dispersed snow play sites, concessionaire opportunities and limitations, traveler information/marketing effectiveness, etc.
  - This creates buy-in and brings together information all aspects of the problem-solution simultaneously.
- Alternatives
  - Limit study to transportation aspects and report to informal snow play task force. This leaves some analysis incomplete. It also leaves leadership on the issue up to the “collective.”
  - Don’t do the study. Allows staff time to focus on Regional Plan 2012 effort. Leaves all responsibility to the informal task force.
- Transit Planning
  - Mountain Links BRT support – staff review and coordination with intermodal linkages, interaction between transit and auto modes for signal coordination, etc.
  - Mass transit research (coordination with Regional Plan 2012) – might involve right-of-way requirement for various modes, cost projections, transportation model modifications to assess ridership of larger modes

#### Task 4 – Long Range Planning

- Regional Plan 2012 – a major effort for staff time, research, and public outreach
  - Staff recommends supporting ASU Decision Theater \$40,000
    - Total cost is \$40,000 to \$80,000. City planning is requesting \$40,000 from the Council.
    - Theater will enable the Citizens Advisory Commission, staff and others to interactively evaluate scenarios and their impacts and decide on a preferred direction
  - Staff recommends miscellaneous consulting \$30,000
    - Unexpected needs may arise including relatively quick turn-around for research or evaluation that is beyond staff’s ability to deliver
  - Alternatives
    - Increase funding and carry full cost of ASU Decision Theater.
    - Decrease funding and focus solely on internal support. Methods will likely be more rudimentary and may take longer to implement – based on computing capacity.
- Statewide Long Range Transportation Plan
  - The 20-year plan to implement the Building a Quality Arizona vision
  - Staff will participate on technical groups, provide modeling support when requested, etc.
- I-40 Design Concept Report (on-going)

**Task 5 – Local Planning & Coordination**

- Multimodal Traffic-transportation Impact Analysis procedures development
- Model update to base year 2009
- RTP policy and guideline integration
- Design Review Board comments
- Trail planning

**Community Involvement:**

- None to date. Many subtasks are related to public comment received during the RTP process. Many subtasks have budgets for outreach.

**Attachments/Exhibits:** None



# FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION STAFF SUMMARY REPORT



**To:** FMPO Executive Board  
**From:** David Wessel, FMPO Manager  
**Date:** January 20, 2010  
**Meeting Date:** January 27, 2010

**Title:** FY 2011 – 2015 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

**Recommended Actions:** Discussion only regarding the FY 2011-2015 TIP

**ACTION SUMMARY:** Board direction will enable staff to develop a draft TIP for consideration in May. TAC generally supports the direction in this staff report.

**DISCUSSION:**

### **Background/History:**

- TIP is an annual federal requirement
- TIP should support the newly adopted Regional Transportation Plan

### **Key Considerations:**

- Efforts to project the impact of the 2010 Census on funding for FY 2012-2015
  - A 6% decrease in funds by year 2015, \$20-30,000, may be in order using Arizona Department of Commerce projections for the entire County compared to all non-metro counties and current funding formulas.
- Stimulus Round II – a “jobs” bill passed by the House may become law shortly after health care is resolved. Funding for the FMPO is estimated at last year’s levels or \$950,000. A 90-day-to-contract schedule limits potential projects. Staff is working with the City to identify projects – the County received funding in round 1. Many candidates are already in the TIP. New projects will require an amendment.
- Congressional Directive Expenditures – the FY 11 appropriations bill will present an opportunity to apply for approximately \$500,000 in discretionary funds through Congresswoman Kirkpatrick’s office. 2/3 of applications are transportation related. Any new projects will have to be added to the TIP.
- Timing of projects relative to local and other budgets
  - Rio de Flag flood control – The Rio schedule continues to lengthen influencing the Route 66 bridge and other bridge projects. **Staff is working with Congresswoman Kirkpatrick’s office to secure and extend the \$3,000,000 High Priority Project funding.**
  - Beulah Boulevard design and delivery
- Milton Road – size, scope and duration of the study or design effort may result in additional funds available for other efforts, particularly in years 2013-2015. Staff and TAC are supportive of identifying a safety-related project on Milton Road and combining “left-over” Milton design funds, safety funds, year 2015 funds and other funds

as available to deliver one or more projects identified in the related corridor study (see UPWP staff report). Current estimates are that \$4,000,000 could be available in FY 2015 for such a project. **Staff recommends working to resolve route transfer and safety funding issues and accomplish Milton Rd. project (s).**

- Safety projects – \$600,000 per year beginning in FY 2010 is available and should be programmed. Staff is waiting on ADOT clarification if funds need to be spent immediately or can be rolled into a larger, but later, project.
- Regional Transportation Plan directs regional surface transportation program (STP) funds and safety funds to focus primarily on pedestrian and bicycle safety and planning studies
- Most funds' life span is year of allocation plus three years with a 5.6% match requirement
- High Priority Project funds such as those for the Rio are indefinite. **However, a new highway authorization bill may recall unspent funds to pay for the new bill.**
- Transit budgets and the TIP must be explicitly linked. The current TIP is appropriate these needs.

**Community Involvement:**

- None to date.

**Alternatives/Consequences:**

- Funds may be carried forward and accumulated for “pay-as-you-go” efforts.
- Funds may be borrowed from another agency and repaid with FMPO STP funds.
- Lone Tree Traffic Interchange is programmed for \$500,000 in 2014 for design. Moving Milton Phase III and IV and year 2015 funds may approach full design funding. This may provide more leverage in having ADOT add this to the program.
- Milton Road safety project may be replaced with smaller projects spread out over time. TAC has asked staff to develop a prioritization and selection process. Spreads projects across region.

**Attachments/Exhibits:**

Current FY 2010-2014 TIP tables for federal surface transportation and transit program funds

**Table 3. FY 2010-2014 FMPO Surface Transportation Program (STP) projects**

Update: 6/24/09

mpo_cog	tip_fy	project_sponsor	project_name	project_location	work_type_equipment_etc	Length	federal_functional_class	lanes_before	lanes_after	federal_aid_type	federal_funds	local_match	Total Project Cost
fmpo	2009	Flagstaff	Lake Mary	AZ FH 3 (Lake Mary Rd) (1)	Widening - shoulders	4.4	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	STP	\$ 226,678	1,600,000	13,900,000
fmpo	2010	ADOT	Turn lane	E. Route 66/Steves (WB)	Bus pull-outs, const.	0.1	Other Principal Arterial			STP	\$ 260,680	75,000	335,680
fmpo	2010	Flagstaff	Milton I	Milton Rd Design - Phase I (3)	Congestion Relief - Riordan to 180	0.86	Other Principal Arterial			STP	\$ 340,017	18,859	12% of \$15M
fmpo	2010	NAIPTA	Mt. Links - BRT	Downtown & NAU	Design	4.7	System			STP-flex	\$ 260,680		430,000
fmpo	2011	Flagstaff	Milton II	Milton Rd Design - Phase II	Congestion Relief - Riordan to 180	0.86	Other Principal Arterial			STP	\$ 436,129	24,190	
fmpo	2012	Flagstaff	Rio bridges	Rio de Flag Bridges (4)	bridge improvements		Urban Collector	2	2	HPP	\$ 3,000,000		
fmpo	2012	Flagstaff	66 bridge	W. Route 66 @ Rio de Flag (2)	Bridge Construction (share)	0.2	Other Principal Arterial			STP	\$ 183,881	10,199	
fmpo	2012	Flagstaff	Milton III	Milton Rd Design - Phase III	Congestion Relief - Riordan to 180	0.86	Other Principal Arterial			STP	\$ 459,704	175,497	
fmpo	2013	Flagstaff	Milton IV	Milton Rd Design - Phase IV	Congestion Relief - Riordan to 180	0.86	Other Principal Arterial			STP	\$ 835,067	146,317	
fmpo	2014	Flagstaff	Beulah	Beulah Blvd extension	Road Design - Complete	0.4	Urban Collector (prop.)			STP	\$ 662,954	36,771	520,000
fmpo	2014	Flagstaff	Lone Tree T.I.	T.I. Design Support	Road Design - Share	0.4	Urban Interstate			STP	\$ 508,265	25,372	20,000,000

(1) Total project cost estimated at \$13,900,000, additional funding programmed through City of Flagstaff, Coconino County, and federal forest highways program

(2) Total project cost estimated at \$2,000,000, additional funding anticipated from ADOT bridge program

(3) Total project cost estimated at \$15,000,000 - capital funding not programmed at this time

(4) Total project cost estimated at \$54,000,000 for the complete flood control project

(5) Assumed 4% per year cost of inflation from FY 2009.

Table 5. FY2010 - 2014: Transit Projects within the FMPO-area  
Update 6/4/09

Agency	Fiscal Year	ALI Code	Location	Project Description	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Total Cost
<b><u>In Progress Projects</u></b>								
NAIPTA	2009	11.7A.00	Region	Vehicle Rehab and Maintenance	5307	\$ 24,400	\$ 97,600	\$ 122,000
NAIPTA	2009	11.32.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5309	\$ 4,540	\$ 18,157	\$ 22,697
NAIPTA	2009	11.32.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5307 ARRA		\$ 67,303	\$ 67,303
NAIPTA	2009	11.71.01	Flagstaff	Design & Engineering Mountain Links - Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	5307 ARRA	\$ -	\$ 170,000	\$ 170,000
NAIPTA	2009	11.31.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelter - Downtown Transfer Center	5307	\$ 33,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 153,000
NAIPTA	2009	11.76.91	Flagstaff	Building Construction - Maintenance and Admin Bldg, Kaspar Dr	5307	\$ 298,963	\$ 1,195,851	\$ 1,494,814
NAIPTA	2009	11.76.91	Flagstaff	Building Construction - Maintenance and Admin Bldg	5309	\$ 381,037	\$ 1,524,149	\$ 1,905,186
				<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2009</b>		<b>\$ 336,503</b>	<b>\$ 1,571,311</b>	<b>\$ 1,907,814</b>
<b><u>Future Projects</u></b>								
NAIPTA	2010	30.09.00	Region	Operating Assistance, including Project Management	5307	\$ 3,475,402	\$ 904,974	\$ 4,380,376
NAIPTA	2010	11.13.02	Flagstaff	1 Hybrid Electric Bus (expansion of fleet, increase frequency)	5307 ARRA		\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000
NAIPTA	2010	11.7A.00	Region	Vehicle Rehab and Maintenance	5307 ARRA	\$ 25,200	\$ 319,084	\$ 344,284
NAIPTA	2010	11.33.02	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelter - Mall Transfer Center	5307 ARRA	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
NAIPTA	2010	11.32.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5307 ARRA	\$ 44,569	\$ 178,274	\$ 222,843
NAIPTA	2010	11.71.01	Flagstaff	Design & Engineering Mountain Links - BRT	5307 STP	\$ 17,312	\$ 230,000	\$ 247,312
				<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2010</b>		<b>\$ 3,562,483</b>	<b>\$ 2,372,332</b>	<b>\$ 5,934,815</b>
NAIPTA	2011	30.09.00	Region	Operating Assistance, including Project Management	5307	\$ 4,751,127	\$ 904,974	\$ 5,656,101
NAIPTA	2011	11.7A.00	Region	Vehicle Rehab and Maintenance	5307	\$ 25,960	\$ 103,840	\$ 129,800
NAIPTA	2011	11.12.15	Region	1 Para-Transit Van (Replacement)	5307	\$ 13,049	\$ 52,195	\$ 65,244
NAIPTA	2011	11.32.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5309	\$ 7,750	\$ 31,000	\$ 38,750
				<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2011</b>		<b>\$ 4,797,886</b>	<b>\$ 1,092,009</b>	<b>\$ 5,889,895</b>
NAIPTA	2012	30.09.00	Region	Operating Assistance, including Project Management	5307	\$ 4,941,471	\$ 904,974	\$ 5,846,445
NAIPTA	2012	11.12.15	Region	1 Para-Transit Van (Replacement)	5307	\$ 13,375	\$ 53,500	\$ 66,875
NAIPTA	2012	11.32.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5307	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000
				<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2012</b>		<b>\$ 4,959,846</b>	<b>\$ 978,474</b>	<b>\$ 5,938,320</b>
NAIPTA	2013	30.09.00	Region	Operating Assistance, including Project Management	5307	\$ 5,139,475	\$ 904,974	\$ 6,044,449
NAIPTA	2013	11.7A.00	Region	Vehicle Rehab and Maintenance	5307	\$ 27,600	\$ 110,400	\$ 138,000
NAIPTA	2013	11.12.15	Region	1 Para-Transit Van (Replacement)	5307	\$ 13,709	\$ 54,838	\$ 68,547
NAIPTA	2013	11.32.10	Flagstaff	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5307	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000
				<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2013</b>		<b>\$ 5,185,784</b>	<b>\$ 1,090,212</b>	<b>\$ 6,275,996</b>
NAIPTA	2014	30.09.00	Region	Operating Assistance, including Project Management	5307	\$ 5,139,475	\$ 904,974	\$ 6,044,449
NAIPTA	2014	11.12.15	Region	2 Para-Transit Van (Replacement)	5307	\$ 28,104	\$ 112,417	\$ 140,521
NAIPTA	2014	11.32.10	Region	Passenger Shelters, Signs, and Poles	5307	\$ 60,000	\$ 240,000	\$ 300,000
NAIPTA	2014	11.33.02	Flagstaff	Design and Construction of BRT Route/Corridor	5309	\$ 943,000	\$ 3,772,000	\$ 4,715,000
NAIPTA	2014	11.13.02	Flagstaff	4 Hybrid Electric Bus (expansion of fleet, implementation of BRT)	5309	\$ 432,000	\$ 1,728,000	\$ 2,160,000
NAIPTA	2014	11.13.02	Flagstaff	6 Hybrid Electric Bus (replacement of expired fleet)	5307 ARRA TIGGER	\$ -	\$ 3,240,540	\$ 3,240,540
NAIPTA	2014	11.13.02	Flagstaff	4 Hybrid Electric Buses (replacement of expired fleet)	5309	\$ 472,984	\$ 1,891,936	\$ 2,364,920
NAIPTA	2014	11.76.91	Flagstaff	Building Construction - Phase 2 of Admin Facility	5309	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 4,800,000	\$ 6,000,000
				<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2014</b>		<b>\$ 8,275,563</b>	<b>\$ 16,689,867</b>	<b>\$ 24,965,430</b>

# FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION STAFF SUMMARY REPORT



**To:** FMPO Technical Advisory Committee

**From:** David Wessel, FMPO Manager

**Date:** December 31, 2009

**Meeting Date:** January 7, 2010

---

**Title:** Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update

Recommended Actions: Discussion only

---

**ACTION SUMMARY:** Advises TAC on status of RTP.

**DISCUSSION:**

**Background/History:**

- Executive Board adopted the RTP on 12/16/09

**Key Considerations:**

- **Document production**
  - Staff has incorporated Board conditions and delivered to Charlier for formatting.
  - Pending state and federal courtesy review staff will send the document to the printers. A CD will be placed in a sleeve at the back containing the plan, appendices, public comment, public involvement report and full safety study.
  - Hard copy distribution will be limited. All material will be available on line.
- **Next Steps**
  - See UPWP staff report – coordination with Regional Plan 2012 and zoning code update

**Community Benefits and Considerations:**

- No update

**Community Involvement:**

- See schedule above

**Attachments/Exhibits:**

- None

# FISCAL 2009-2010

## FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

### JULY 2009

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	★
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

### AUGUST 2009

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

### SEPTEMBER 2009

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	★	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

### OCTOBER 2009

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

### NOVEMBER 2009

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	★	27	28
29	30					

### DECEMBER 2009

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	★	26
27	28	29	30	31		

### JANUARY 2010

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					★	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

### FEBRUARY 2010

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28						

### MARCH 2010

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

### APRIL 2010

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

### MAY 2010

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	★	25	26	27	28	29
30						

### JUNE 2010

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

Office: 100 W. Birch Avenue  
 Mail: 211 W. Aspen Ave  
 Flagstaff, AZ 86001  
 Phone: 928-226-4849  
 Fax: 928-213-4825  
 Website: www.flagstaffmpo.org

#### Important Dates

Annual FMPO Retreat Confirmed 9/23/09  
 Annual Board Dinner Tentative 6/17/10  
 Annual Work Program Process May 2010  
 Annual TIP Approval Process June 2010  
*Note: Special Executive Board Meetings confirmed for 11/18/09 & 12/16/09 due to Holidays*

- TAC
- Management Committee
- ◇ Executive Board
- ★ Holiday





FLAGSTAFF METROPOLITAN  
PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
CITY OF FLAGSTAFF ♦ COCONINO COUNTY ♦ ARIZONA DOT  
Office: 100 West Birch Avenue ♦ Flagstaff, Arizona 86001  
Mail: 211 West Aspen Avenue ♦ Flagstaff, Arizona 86001  
Phone: (928) 226-4849 ♦ Fax: (928) 213-4825  
[www.flagstaffmpo.org](http://www.flagstaffmpo.org) ♦ [fmpo@flagstaffaz.gov](mailto:fmpo@flagstaffaz.gov)

## ***Action Summary***

### **Technical Advisory Committee**

**10:00 a.m. – Noon – Thursday, January 7, 2010**

---

Coconino County Human Resources Building  
420 N. San Francisco Street, Flagstaff, AZ 86001

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Towler called the meeting to order at 10:10 a.m.

#### **TAC COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT**

Bill Towler, Coconino County Community Development Director, Chair  
Justin Feek, ADOT Senior Transportation Planner, Vice-Chair  
Audra Merrick, ADOT, Flagstaff District Development Engineer  
Jeff Bauman, City of Flagstaff Transportation Manager  
Rick Barrett, City of Flagstaff Engineer  
Andy Bertelsen, Coconino County Public Works Director (arrived 10:15 am)  
Heather Dalmolin, Sr. Manager Internal Services NAIPTA

#### **EXCUSED ABSENCE**

Dale Wegner, Designated Alternate County Engineer  
Jim Cronk, Flagstaff Development Services Director  
John Harper, ADOT Flagstaff District Engineer

#### **FMPO STAFF**

David Wessel, FMPO Manager  
Meg Roederer, Administrative Specialist

### **I. PRELIMINARY GENERAL BUSINESS**

#### **A. PUBLIC COMMENT**

None

#### **B. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Ms. Roederer announced the Rural Transportation Summit is scheduled for October 2010 and not in January as it has been in previous years.

#### **C. APPROVAL of MINUTES.**

Mr. Barrett made a motion to approve the December 3, 2009 Minutes and Mr. Bertelsen seconded, which passed unanimously.

### **II. OLD BUSINESS**

**1. FY 2011 Unified Planning Work Program**

Mr. Wessel explained each task of the FY 11 Unified Planning Work Program. The TAC discussed the Milton Road Corridor Study in regard to construction, reimbursement, medians and public relations. Mr. Wessel suggested rearranging the work program and meeting with Mark Landsiedel and John Harper. The TAC will continue the discussion at next month's meeting. Ms. Merrick suggested including the airport roundabout as part of the work program. Mr. Barrett suggested general hours be allocated to a Work Program safety program because it may be 80 or more hours and place it under task 3 short range planning. There was discussion only.

**2. FY 2011-2015 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

Mr. Wessel discussed the FY 2011-2015 TIP with the TAC. There was discussion only.

**3. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update**

Mr. Wessel provided an update of the Regional Transportation Plan to the TAC. There was discussion only.

**III. NEW BUSINESS (Continued, postponed, and tabled items.)**

**1. FMPO Calendar**

Mr. Wessel introduced the concept of work session items for the Executive Board to be presented every other month and the TAC provided input on topics.

- Federal Legislation
- Long Term Maintenance
- Camp Navajo Roles & Responsibilities
- Freight
- Shipping Association
- Port authorization
- Advisory Committee
- Freight in general
- Freight roundtable
- Strategic Planning
- Significance of modeling and traffic counts (baseline information and critical decision making)

There was discussion only.

**IV. CONCLUDING GENERAL BUSINESS**

**D. REPORTS**

Mr. Wessel reviewed the staff report with the TAC and noted the drafting of an MOU with NAIPTA is in progress.

**E. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

There were no announcements.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Towler adjourned the meeting at 12:02 p.m.





## Memorandum – Staff Reports

---

**Date:** December 31, 2009

**To:** FMPO Technical Advisory Committee

**From:** Martin Ince, Multi-Modal Planner  
David Wessel, FMPO Manager

**Re:** **Ongoing Transportation Projects**

### **Federal Funding and Reauthorization**

Staff sent the letters as directed by the Board and made follow-up phone calls to the offices of McCain, Kyl, Kirkpatrick and Mitchell – primarily leaving messages - reiterating the position and offering to answer questions. A continuing resolution bill is moving through the house and senate, but due to time constraints and legislative work loads is unlikely to address having the rescission removed. This will result in a \$12-14,000 loss to the FMPO in FY 2011.

Reauthorization continues to be placed behind health care and other initiatives.

### **Lake Mary Road Widening**

Ribbon cutting completed. Recreation area turn lane and Mormon Lake Overlook work next spring.

### **ADOT Statewide and Northern Regional Framework Studies**

Scenario work complete. State Transportation Board to consider adoption in January.

### **I-40 Design Concept Report**

The kick-off meeting was held in May and adjacency and public outreach in July. Staff is reviewing the recently completed traffic analysis. Concerns remain about appropriate estimates for Camp Navajo mission levels and industrial development. The meeting on 12/14 was well attended, confirmed the Camp's commitment to the enhanced use lease effort, and a follow up e-mail confirmed estimates for future industrial growth as adequate.

### **Camp Navajo**

#### **EUL**

1. Adjutant General Salazar still supports the EUL.
2. USACE is in negotiation with Bostonia, an investment firm out of the northeast. The firm is well-capitalized and should be able to construct space on speculation. They are developing a new lease and management plan that should be complete in about 60 days. Bob Penn, USACE, will announce the first local coordinating meeting after January 1.
3. The EUL process, including the management plan, design and environmental clearances should take 8-9 months.
4. The issues with the USFS are not fully resolved.
5. Mr. Penn of the USACE stated that buildings and sales, but not land, will be taxable. This seems contrary to a recent court ruling regarding Xanterra at the GCNP.

6. Intermodal yard is still of interest.
7. Work force training site is worth discussing
8. Camp Navajo Stakeholder Advisory Group involving local interests such as Bellemont residents is still a good idea

#### **Camp Navajo Training Mission**

1. The expanded mission is moving forward.
2. The first round of expansion is slated for 2012.
3. Stages of expansion will be tied to range development - new ranges will expand the capacity and types of training available
4. From previous e-mails: expansion is 170-200,000 troops per year. Primary season is summer. Focus is on national guard training - 2 week and long-weekend periods

#### **Transportation Roundup**

I-17 North Study: Final cost estimates are being prepared.

I-17 South Study: draft DCR available

I-17/J.W. Powell Traffic Interchange Construction:

- Roundabout evaluation complete. State has proposed a redesign subject to acceptable terms with Coconino County.

**Route Transfer Study** – as the federal stimulus effort is winding down and federal staff time is more available discussions on right-of-way disposition are set to resume.

#### **Lone Tree Overpass Study**

PB Americas selected. Preliminary design near complete. Evaluation of build and no-build alternatives underway.

#### **Fourth Street North and South Corridor Studies**

Kick off meetings held in early August. Considerable study complete, alternatives developed and public presentations scheduled in early to mid-December. Alternatives are largely compatible with RTP recommendations.

**Mountain Links Request for Statement of Qualifications.** Hunter Contracting is under contract.

#### **Regional Stimulus Projects**

W. Route 66 – Coconino County. Design and all clearances near complete. Prospects for bid and spring construction are good.

#### **Regional Plan 2012**

Staff continues to participate with the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Core Planning Team. Assurances have been given to both that the RTP will be amended to comply with the land use plans emerging from this process. Focus is currently on population projections and context and draft guiding principles.

#### **Staff Initiatives**

Staff pursued public survey strategies with the Rural Policy Institute. \$15,000 funding is not currently available.

Staff met informally with a retired NAU professor to seek training on policy development and communication. Staff will discuss the material with local leadership on broader training potential.