



# MEETING NOTES

## **Working Group for Public Services, Facilities, Buildings and Safety Element**

Thursday, May 10, 2012

12:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

Chase Building , Conference Room

1. **Introductions:** Meeting commences at 12:38 p.m.

Attendance: Jean Greigo (CAC Member)

Sue Brown

Tiffany Antol

Stephanie Smith

Bob Caravona

2. **Purpose of Working Group Meetings**

a. **Nat White's letter (via email dated 5/9/12; 11:38 a.m.), "Public Facilities Re-thinking"**

Mr. White was unable to attend the meeting, however submitted a letter suggesting the group discuss a clear set of goals. First, however, we need to consider what is included in the section, the theme and definitions. Some suggestions were provided.

Mr. Caravona thanked the hard work Mr. White put into his effort. Mr. Caravona briefly reviewed the work accomplished to date. For clarification, Mr. Caravona reviewed the A.R.S. requirements for these elements; Packet 1 outlining the issues; the definitions/glossary created.

In respect to the Glossary at previous Working Group meetings, Mr. Kotch recommended the glossary be updated for consistency. Mr. Caravona reviewed the process behind the Glossary development. Mr. Caravona extracted the glossary terms from the existing Regional Plan. Next, he reviewed the updated Zoning Code and pulled terms that may be applicable to these planning elements. Consistency among documents is a desired goal. Last, where terms were used in text and/or proposed goals and policies but not referenced in the existing Regional Plan or Zoning Code, model definitions from other jurisdictions were used.

Mr. Caravona provided a Glossary hand-out at the meeting and explained this is derived from Packet 2 which is being drafted. Ms. Antoll inquired about the progress of the draft text and when they will be able to review it. Mr. Caravona outlined the progress to date and the Working Group will be reviewing the text at the next two scheduled meetings.

The Working Group then reviewed the glossary terms and made suggested corrections. Discussed concerns were that some glossary terms were clearly meant for zone code which has a different purpose than the Regional Plan.

Next, discussion occurred about the overall terms Public Service, Facilities, Buildings and Safety. Infrastructure and Facilities were discussed at length as policies appeared to use these terms interchangeably.

**b. Revised Goals and Policies**

Using the emailed comments and suggested edits by the Working Group along with the determinations by the discussions resulting from Mr. White's letter, the Working Group then focused on reviewing the goals and policies by re-organizing policies, goals and editing. This effort will continue at the next meetings.

Prior to concluding the meeting, Stephanie Smith discussed how Resiliency could be operationalized.

**3. Upcoming Meetings - Available on May 17<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup>?**

Review Packet 2 - Text

**4. ADJOURN**

1:43 p.m.